

Fundamentals of Capillary Electrophoresis



John M. Butler, PhD
National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST)

john.butler@nist.gov
+1-301-975-4049
<http://www.cstl.nist.gov/biotech/strbase/training.htm>

ISFG Pre-Conference Workshop
Vienna, Austria
August 30, 2011




Presentation Outline

- History and background on CE
- Fundamentals of CE
 - sample prep, injection, separation, detection

BREAK

- ABI 3500
- Troubleshooting strategies and solutions
- Questions

My Goal:

To help you understand the basic chemistry behind DNA separations and to help make CE instruments less of a "black box"

NIST and NIJ Disclaimer

Funding: Interagency Agreement between the **National Institute of Justice** and **NIST Office of Law Enforcement Standards**

Points of view are mine and do not necessarily represent the official position or policies of the US Department of Justice or the National Institute of Standards and Technology.

Certain commercial equipment, instruments and materials are identified in order to specify experimental procedures as completely as possible. In no case does such identification imply a recommendation or endorsement by the National Institute of Standards and Technology nor does it imply that any of the materials, instruments or equipment identified are necessarily the best available for the purpose.

Our publications and presentations are made available at:
<http://www.cstl.nist.gov/biotech/strbase/NISTpub.htm>

Steps in DNA Analysis

Usually 1-2 day process (a minimum of ~5 hours)

Steps Involved

- Collection
- Specimen Storage
- Extraction
- Quantitation
- Multiplex PCR
- STR Typing
- Interpretation of Results
- Database Storage & Searching
- Calculation of Match Probability



Blood Stain




Buccal swab

Sample Collection & Storage




DNA
Extraction



DNA
Quantitation

Multiplex PCR Amplification

DNA separation and sizing



STR Typing

Male: 13,14-15,16-12,13-10,13-15,16

Interpretation of Results

Genetics

If a match occurs, comparison of DNA profile to population allele frequencies to generate a case report with probability of a random match to an unrelated individual

Technology

DNA Database Search

Pioneers of Capillary Electrophoresis



Stellan Hjertén
Uppsala University

1967
First high voltage CE system (with rotating 3 mm i.d. capillaries)



James Jorgenson
University of North Carolina

1981
First "modern" CE experiments (with 75 µm i.d. capillaries)



Barry Karger
Northeastern University

1988/90
First DNA separations in a capillary (gel-filled/sieving polymer)

Stellan Hjertén

Uppsala University (Sweden)



With first fully automated capillary free zone electrophoresis apparatus in 1967



In 2003 at age 75

Received his PhD (1967) under Professor Arne Tiselius who had developed moving boundary zone electrophoresis in 1937 (Noble Prize in 1948)

A Brief History of Capillary Electrophoresis

- 1937 – Tiselius develops moving boundary electrophoresis
- 1967 – Hjertén uses rotating 3 mm i.d. tubes for CE
- **1981 – Jorgenson and Lukacs demonstrate first high performance CE separations with 75 µm i.d. capillary**
- 1988 – Karger's group shows DNA separations of single stranded oligonucleotides with gel-filled capillaries
- 1990 – Karger's group shows DNA separations with sieving polymers on DNA restriction fragments
- 1991 – Grossman expands work with sieving polymers
- 1992 – Bruce McCord starts working on PCR product separations with STR allelic ladders


My Experience with CE, STRs, etc.

- May 1993 – began working in Bruce McCord's lab at Quantico
- Sept 1993 – developed mtDNA amplicon quantitation method (used in FBI casework from 1996 to present)
- Nov 1993 – **first demonstration of STR typing by CE** (using dual internal standards and TH01 ladder)
- July 1995 – defended Ph.D. dissertation entitled "Sizing and Quantitation of Polymerase Chain Reaction Products by Capillary Electrophoresis for Use in DNA Typing"
- July 1995 – ABI 310 Genetic Analyzer was released

First Rapid STR Typing with Capillary Electrophoresis

Single color detection with dual internal size standards

Butler et al. (1994) *BioTechniques* 17: 1062-1070




Performed in December 1993

Technology Implementation Takes Time – the FBI did not start running casework samples using STRs and CE until January 1999

My Experience with CE, STRs, etc. (cont.)

- 1996-1997 Developed STRBase while a postdoc at NIST
- Nov 1998 – GeneTrace Systems purchased a 310; typed several hundred samples with Profiler Plus and Cofiler kits and compared results to mass spec STR analysis
- **1999-present – Run thousands of samples with all STR kits available (except PP 1.2) and developed a number of new STR multiplex systems**
- Jan 2001 – Published "*Forensic DNA Typing: Biology and Technology behind STR Markers*" (2nd Edition in Feb 2005)
- April 2001-present – Use of ABI 3100 16-capillary array system

STR Allele Separation Can Be Performed by Gel or Capillary Electrophoresis with Detection of Fluorescent Dyes Labeling Each PCR Product



Why Use CE for DNA Analysis?

1. Injection, separation, and detection are automated.
2. Rapid separations are possible
3. Excellent sensitivity and resolution
4. The time at which any band elutes is precisely determined
5. Peak information is automatically stored for easy retrieval



Symbol first used in Oct 1994 at the Promega meeting when I had a poster introducing the use of CE for STR typing


Important Differences Between CE and Gels

- **Room temperature control** is essential for run-to-run precision
 - CE uses sequential rather than simultaneous separations
 - Usually need < 2.0 °C (must inject allelic ladder regularly)
- **Lower amount of DNA loaded** (injection = nL vs µL) and thus detection sensitivity must be better
- Electrokinetic injection enables **dye artifacts** (blobs) to enter the capillary or microchip CE channel and thus possibly interfere with STR allele interpretation

More Differences between CE and Gels...

- Filling the capillary (or microchip CE channel) is analogous to pouring a gel into a tiny tube...
- Must be more clean around a CE system
 - Because the capillaries (µCE channels) are small, particles of dust or urea crystals can easily plug them
 - Tips of capillary cannot dry out (once buffer solutions have been run through them) for the same reasons
- **Bubbles are a BIG problem in CE as they can easily block current flow in the capillary...**

Capillary Electrophoresis (CE)



Typical Instruments Used for STR Typing

GeneAmp 9700



Thermal Cycler for PCR Amplification

Capillary electrophoresis instruments for separating and sizing PCR products

single capillary

16-capillary array

ABI 310

ABI 3100



Genetic Analyzers from Applied Biosystems

J.M. Butler (2011) Advanced Topics in Forensic DNA Typing: Methodology, Table 6.1

ABI Genetic Analyzer	Years Released for Human ID	Number of Capillaries	Laser	Polymer delivery	Other features
373 (gel system)	1992-2003	--	40 mW Ar+ (488/514 nm)	--	PMTs and color filter wheel for detection
377 (gel system)	1995-2006	--	40 mW Ar+ (488/514 nm)	--	CCD camera
310	1995-	1	10 mW Ar+ (488/514 nm)	syringe	Mac operating system & Windows NT (later)
3100	2000-2005	16	25 mW Ar+ (488/514 nm)	syringe	
3100-Avant	2002-2007	4	25 mW Ar+ (488/514 nm)	syringe	
3130	2003-2011	4	25 mW Ar+ (488/514 nm)	pump	
3130xl	2003-2011	16	25 mW Ar+ (488/514 nm)	pump	
3500	2010-	8	10-25 mW diode (635 nm)	new pump	110V power; RFID-tagged reagents; .hld files; normalization & 5-dye detection possible
3500xl	2010-	24			
3700	2002-2003	96	25 mW Ar+ (488/514 nm)	cuvette-based	Split beam technology
3730	2005-	48	25 mW Ar+ (488/514 nm)	pump	
3730xl	2005-	96	25 mW Ar+ (488/514 nm)	pump	

Information courtesy of Michelle S. Shepherd, Applied Biosystems, LIFE Technologies.

ABI Genetic Analyzer Usage at NIST

(All instruments were purchased using NIJ funds)



ABI 310 Single capillary

- 1st was purchased in 1996 as Mac (A230, now B233)
- 2nd was purchased in June 2002 as NT (B261)



ABI 3100 → 3130xl 16 capillaries

- 1st purchased in April 2001 as ABI 3100
 - upgraded to 3130xl in Sept 2005
 - Located in a different room (A230, now B219)
- 2nd purchased in June 2002 as ABI 3100
 - Original data collection (v1.0.1) software retained
 - updated to 3130xl in Jan 2007 (B219, now B261)



ABI 3500 8 capillaries

- Purchased Nov 2010 (B233)

DNA Samples Run at NIST

we have processed >100,000 samples (from 1996-present)

- **STR kits**
 - Identifiler, PP16, PP16HS, Identifiler Plus, Identifiler Direct, Profiler Plus, Cofiler, SGM Plus, ESI/ESX 17, SE33 monoplex
- **Research & development on new assays**
 - **STRs:** Y-STR 20plex, MeowPlex, miniSTRs, 26plex
 - **SNPs:** SNaPshot assays: mtDNA (one 10plex), Y-SNPs (four 6plexes), Orchid SNPs (twelve 6plexes), ancestry SNPs (two 12plexes), SNPforID (one 29plex), SNPplex (one 48plex)
- **DNA sequencing**
 - Variant allele sequencing

We have a unique breadth and depth of experience with these instruments...

Review Article on STRs and CE

pdf available from <http://www.cstl.nist.gov/biotech/strbase/NISTpub.htm>

<p>Electrophoresis 2004, 25, 1397-1412</p> <p>Review</p> <p>John M. Butler¹ Eric Bass² Federica Crivellato^{3*} Bruce R. McCord⁴</p> <p>¹National Institute of Standards and Technology, Biotechnology Division, Gaithersburg, MD, USA ²Westcott Forensic Laboratory, Watbury, VT, USA ³Ohio University, Department of Chemistry, Athens, OH, USA</p> <p>Forensic DNA typing with new applications including use as the ABI-Pris for many laboratories using sample preparation results using CE systems in the context throughout and more</p>	<p>Contents</p> <p>1 Introduction 1397</p> <p>1.1 General aspects 1397</p> <p>1.2 Early work with CE 1400</p> <p>2 Sample preparation and injection 1401</p> <p>3 Sample separation 1402</p> <p>3.1 The polymer separation matrix 1403</p> <p>3.2 The buffer 1403</p> <p>3.3 The capillary 1404</p> <p>4 Sample detection 1406</p> <p>5 Sample interpretation 1406</p> <p>5.1 Software used 1406</p> <p>5.2 Assessing resolution of DNA separations 1406</p> <p>6 Applications of forensic DNA testing 1407</p> <p>6.1 Forensic casework 1407</p> <p>6.2 DNA databasing 1408</p> <p>7 Increasing sample throughput 1408</p> <p>7.1 Capillary array electrophoresis systems 1408</p> <p>7.2 Microchip CE systems 1409</p> <p>7.3 Future methods for DNA typing with STR markers 1410</p> <p>8 References 1410</p>
---	---

Analytical Requirements for STR Typing

Butler et al. (2004) Electrophoresis 25: 1397-1412

- Fluorescent dyes must be **spectrally resolved** in order to distinguish different dye labels on PCR products
- PCR products must be **spatially resolved** – desirable to have single base resolution out to >350 bp in order to distinguish variant alleles
- High **run-to-run precision** – an internal sizing standard is used to calibrate each run in order to compare data over time

Steps in STR Typing with ABI 310/3100


Butler, J.M. (2008) Forensic DNA Typing, 2nd Edition, Figure 12.6. © Elsevier Science/Academic Press

Detection with Multiple Capillaries (Irradiation for Capillary Arrays)


ABI 3100, 3130, 3100Avant ABI 3700 MegaBACE

Process Involved in 310/3100 Analysis



- **Separation**
 - Capillary – 50µm fused silica, 43 cm length (36 cm to detector)
 - POP-4 polymer – Polydimethyl acrylamide
 - Buffer - TAPS pH 8.0
 - Denaturants – urea, pyridinolone
- **Injection**
 - electrokinetic injection process (formamide, water)
 - importance of sample stacking
- **Detection**
 - fluorescent dyes with excitation and emission traits
 - CCD with defined virtual filters produced by assigning certain pixels




- ### How to Improve Resolution?
1. Lower Field Strength
 2. Increase Capillary Length
 3. Increase Polymer Concentration
 4. Increase Polymer Length
- All of these come at a cost of longer separation run times



Injection





Sample Conductivity Impacts Amount Injected

$$[DNA_{inj}] = \frac{Et(\pi r^2) (\mu_{ep} + \mu_{eof}) [DNA_{sample}] (\lambda_{buffer})}{\lambda_{sample}}$$

$[DNA_{inj}]$ is the amount of sample injected
 $[DNA_{sample}]$ is the concentration of DNA in the sample
 E is the electric field applied
 t is the injection time
 r is the radius of the capillary
 μ_{ep} is the mobility of the sample molecules
 μ_{eof} is the electroosmotic mobility

λ_{buffer} is the buffer conductivity
 λ_{sample} is the sample conductivity
 Cl⁻ ions and other buffer ions present in PCR reaction contribute to the sample conductivity and thus will compete with DNA for injection onto the capillary

Butler et al. (2004) Electrophoresis 25: 1397-1412

Steps Performed in Standard Module


See J.M. Butler (2005) *Forensic DNA Typing*, 2nd Edition; Chapter 14

- **Capillary fill** – polymer solution is forced into the capillary by applying a force to the syringe
- **Pre-electrophoresis** – the separation voltage is raised to 10,000 volts and run for 5 minutes;
- **Water wash of capillary** – capillary is dipped several times in deionized water to remove buffer salts that would interfere with the injection process
- **Sample injection** – the autosampler moves to position A1 (or the next sample in the sample set) and is moved up onto the capillary to perform the injection; a voltage is applied to the sample and a few nanoliters of sample are pulled onto the end of the capillary; the default injection is 15 kV (kilovolts) for 5 seconds
- **Water wash of capillary** – capillary is dipped several times in waste water to remove any contaminating solution adhering to the outside of the capillary
- **Water dip** – capillary is dipped in clean water (position 2) several times
- **Electrophoresis** – autosampler moves to inlet buffer vial (position 1) and separation voltage is applied across the capillary; the injected DNA molecules begin separating through the POP-4 polymer solution
- **Detection** – data collection begins; raw data is collected with no spectral deconvolution of the different dye colors; the matrix is applied during Genescan analysis

Comments on Sample Preparation


- Use high quality formamide (<100 μS/cm)
- Denaturation with heating and snap cooling is not needed (although most labs still do it...)
- **Post-PCR purification reduces salt levels and leads to more DNA injected onto the capillary**

Removal of Dye Artifacts Following PCR Amplification




Why MiniElute increases peak heights





- QIAGEN MiniElute **reduces salt levels in samples causing more DNA to be injected**
- **Requires setting a higher stochastic threshold to account for the increased sensitivity**







Smith, P.J. and Ballantyne, J. (2007) Simplified low-copy-number DNA analysis by post-PCR purification. *J. Forensic Sci.* 52: 820-829



Detection






Matrix with 4 Dyes on ABI 310





$I_{540} = bx_b + gy_b + yz_b + rw_b$ intensity of blue
 $I_{560} = bx_g + gy_g + yz_g + rw_g$ intensity of green
 $I_{580} = bx_y + gy_y + yz_y + rw_y$ intensity of yellow
 $I_{610} = bx_r + gy_r + yz_r + rw_r$ intensity of red

Where
b is the %blue labeled DNA
g is the %green labeled DNA, etc.
x,y,z,w are the numbers in the matrix (sensitivity to each color)

	B	G	Y	R
B	1.0000	0.0102	0.1560	0.0009
G	0.0300	1.0000	0.7422	0.0017
Y	0.0416	0.0524	1.0000	0.1102
R	0.0005	0.0004	0.0051	1.0000

If you solve **xyzw** for each dye individually
 Then you can determine dye contribution for any mixture





Maintenance of ABI 310/3100/3130

- Syringe – leaks cause capillary to not fill properly
- Capillary storage & wash – **it dries, it dies!**
- Pump block – cleaning helps insure good fill
- Change the running buffer regularly

YOU MUST BE CLEAN AROUND A CE!

Protocols Used for STR Typing

- Most forensic DNA laboratories follow PCR amplification and CE instrument protocols provided by the manufacturer
- Comments
 - Lower volume reactions may work fine and reduce costs
 - No heat denaturation/snap cooling is required prior to loading samples into ABI 310 or ABI 3100
 - Capillaries do not have to be thrown away after 100 runs
 - POP-4 polymer lasts much longer than 5 days on an ABI 310
 - **Validation does not have to be an overwhelming task**

ABI 3500 Genetic Analyzer



- 3500 (8 capillary)
- 3500xl (24 capillary)

ABI 3500 Genetic Analyzer

New Features of the ABI 3500 CE

- an improved polymer delivery pump design,
- ready-to-use consumables and containers,
- Radio Frequency Identification (RFID) consumable tracking,
- quality control software features for rapid identification and re-injection of failed samples,
- increased throughput,
- new laser technology,
- reduced power requirements,
- peak height normalization,
- intuitive user software, and integrated primary analysis software,
- improved peak height uniformity across capillaries, runs and instruments
- 6-dye channel capability

Details of the new ABI 3500

No lower pump block
(less polymer waste)



Improved sealing for better temperature control
(improved precision?)



Better seal around the detector

Reagents prepackaged with RFID tags



Primary Differences Between 31xx and 3500


31xx Instruments

- Argon ion (Ar+) lasers with 488/514 nm wavelengths for fluorescence excitation
- 220V power requirement
- Optimal signal intensity 1500-3000 RFU
- Currently validated and operational in most forensic laboratories

3500 Instruments

- Single-line 505 nm, solid-state long-life laser
- Smaller footprint
- 110V power requirement
- Optimal signal intensity can approach 20,000-30,000 RFU
- Normalization of instrument-to-instrument signal variability
 - Ability to increase or decrease overall signal
- Requires the use of GeneMapper IDX v1.2


ABI 3500 'Dash Board' Data Collection



https://www3.appliedbiosystems.com/cms/groups/portals/documents/web_content/cms_064299.jpg



ABI 3500 Generates Excellent Data

STR typing with a 1:7 mixture using 36 cm array and POP4



DNA sequencing of an SE33 allele using 50 cm array and POP7





Troubleshooting: Strategies and Solutions

Bruce McCord's *Profiles in DNA* Article

PROFILES IN DNA Volume 6 (2), Sept 2003, pp. 10-12

TECH TIPS

Troubleshooting Capillary Electrophoresis Systems

By Bruce McCord
Associate Professor of Forensic Chemistry, Ohio University, Athens, Ohio

INTRODUCTION
The development of capillary electrophoresis (CE) has played a key role in bringing about the modern application of DNA typing. Forensic laboratories are the beneficiaries of this new technology, but many practitioners are not fully aware of the underlying principles of the CE system. This article attempts to address the important issues in CE separations, to aid analysts in troubleshooting analytical separations. The key to producing good DNA separations is to understand the principles underlying the injection, separation and detection of each allele. These points are addressed below.

SEPARATION
DNA analysis by CE is performed using emulsified polymer buffers (Figure 1). These buffers are first emulsified into a solution, prior to a separation and packaged and at its conclusion, providing a fresh separation matrix for each run. A typical buffer for forensic DNA separation contains 4% polydimethylsiloxane (PDMS), followed by 6%

Applied Biosystems
Forensic News

http://marketing.appliedbiosystems.com/images/forensic/volume11/docs/52808_FN_FAS_r3.pdf

Troubleshooting Amplification and Electrophoresis of the AmpFISTR® Kit

One of the key responsibilities of a forensic identification laboratory is to provide accurate and reliable DNA analysis. This is only possible if the laboratory is equipped with the latest technology and trained personnel.


Troubleshooting Electrophoresis

Below are some common observations that may be seen during electrophoresis of AmpFISTR® PCR products:

- Spikes/interference peaks
- No signal or low signal
- Loss of resolution
- Arcing
- Low reproducibility
- Contamination
- Baseline issues
- Peak peak morphology




- ## External Factors
- Room temperature
 - Variations in room temperature can cause mobility shifts with band shifts and loss of calibration
 - Temperature is also important due to effects of high humidity on electrical conductance
 - Cleanliness
 - Urea left in sample block can crystallize and catalyze further crystal formation causing spikes, clogs and other problems.
 - Best bet is to keep polymer in system and not remove or change block until polymer is used up.

Effect of temperature on allele size




Slope is 0.14 bases/degree centigrade
 Therefore a small change in temperature has a big effect
 (A 1-2 degree shift in temperature of the heat plate can produce an OL allele)


Hartzell, B., et al. (2003). Response of short tandem repeat systems to temperature and sizing methods. *Forensic Science International*, 133, 228-234.




Temperature Effects
 Off-Ladder "OL Alleles"



"OL allele re-injected"



And the 250 peak...



Monitoring Room Temperature Over Time



Temperature Probes




Refrigerator and freezer monitoring

Frig/Freeze Monitors \$240
 #DT-23-33-80 – USB Temperature Datalogger
 PLUS Software \$79.00 (#DT-23-33-60)
 Room Monitors, # DT-23039-52 – USB
 Temperature-Humidity Datalogger \$91.00
 (Cole Parmer, Vernon Hills IL)

Room temperature monitoring




Monitoring Instrument Room Temperature Fluctuations




Temperature Monitoring of two separate instrument rooms.

Box area is a 24 hour period where temperature control is not stable.

Poor Temperature Control Causes DNA Sizing Imprecision




Use of Second Allelic Ladder to Monitor Potential Match Criteria Problems



Cleanliness

- Urea sublimates and breaks down to ionic components - these find a path to ground
- Similarly wet buffer under a vial creates paths to ground
- Capillary windows must be clear or matrix effects will occur
- Laser will often assist in this process
- Vial caps will transfer low levels of DNA to capillary



Carbon Trails


High Humidity or wet buffer vials can create other paths to ground

Keep Your System Clean!

Instrumental Factors

- Optical System
 - Sensitivity changes with age, capillary diameter, capillary cleanliness, instrument calibration
- Fluidic System
 - Effects of bubbles, dust, urea crystals, leaks in syringe and capillary ferrule
- Matrix Calculations
 - Changes in buffer, optics, sample dye can alter the software calibrations
- Capillary Problems
 - Chemisorbed materials on capillary surface can produce osmotic flow, DNA band broadening and inconsistent resolution (meltdowns)

The Detection Window




Detection Window

Capillary


Make sure that the capillary window is lined up (if it is not, then no peaks will be seen)

Window may need to be cleaned with ethanol or methanol

Review Start of Raw Data Collection




Little spikes indicate need to change buffer... check current



These spikes resulted from buffer dilution with poor water. The problem disappeared when the HPLC grade water was purchased to dilute buffer and samples

Beware of Urea Crystals



Urea crystals have formed due to a small leak where the capillary comes into the pump block

Urea sublimates and can evaporate to appear elsewhere

Use a small balloon to better grip the ferrule and keep it tight

Pump block should be well cleaned to avoid problems with urea crystal formation

Buffer Issues


- The buffer and polymer affect the background fluorescence- affecting the matrix
- Urea crystals and dust may produce spikes
- High salt concentrations may produce reannealing of DNA
- High salt concentrations affect current
- Low polymer concentrations affect peak resolution

Meltdowns can be permanent or transitory

as we have seen these may result from sample contamination effects



No! The next injection looks fine...



Meltdowns may be the result of


- Bad formamide
- Excess salt in sample/renaturation
- Water in the polymer buffer
- **Syringe leak** or bottom out
- Poisoned capillary
- Conductive polymer buffer due to urea degradation
- Crack/shift in capillary window
- Detergents and metal ions

Troubleshooting benchmarks

- **Monitor run current**
- Observe syringe position and movement during a batch
- Examine ILS (ROX) peak height with no sample
- Observe "250 bp" peak in GS500 size standard
- Monitor resolution of TH01 9.3/10 in allelic ladder and size standard peak shapes
- **Keep an eye on the baseline signal/noise**
- Measure formamide conductivity
- Reagent blank – **are any dye blobs present?**
- See if positive control DNA is producing typical peak heights (along with the correct genotype)

Measurement of Current


- $V/I = R$ where R is a function of capillary diameter, [buffer], and buffer viscosity
- In a CE system the voltage is fixed, thus changes in resistance in the capillary will be reflected in the current observed
- Air bubbles, syringe leaks, alternate paths to ground, changes in temperature, changes in zeta potential, and contamination, will be reflected in the current
- A typical current for a CE system with POP4 buffer is **8-12 μ A** (microamps)



Syringe Travel


- The ABI 310 instrument also keeps track of the position of the syringe (in the log file)
- Depending on the resistance to flow, the syringe will travel different lengths
- Syringe leaks may be reflected in a longer distance traveled prior to each injection
- These leaks occur around the barrel of the syringe and at the connection to the capillary block

Use of ABI 310 Log File to Monitor Current and Syringe Travel




ABI 3100

ABI 3130xl (upgraded from 3100)



Dye Blobs in the Negative Control Sample



Measuring Formamide Conductivity



(not this way)



The key is to measure the bottle when it comes in or buy the good stuff and immediately pipette it out into small tubes with or without ROX already added. Then freeze the tubes.


Do not ever open a cold bottle of formamide. Water will condense inside and aid in the formation of conductive formic acid.

Conclusion:




Troubleshooting is more than following the protocols

It means keeping watch on all aspects of the operation

1. Monitoring conductivity of sample and formamide
2. Keeping track of current and syringe position in log.
3. Watching the laser current
4. Watching and listening for voltage spikes
5. Monitoring room temperature and humidity



Example Problems Seen and Provided by Others




Why dsDNA migrates through CE capillary faster than ssDNA...

- DNA molecule separation depends on interactions with the polymer
 - Higher polymer concentration (or longer polymer molecules) permits more polymer interactions and provides better resolution (i.e., POP-6 vs POP-4)
- **Single-stranded DNA (ssDNA) is more flexible than double-stranded DNA (dsDNA)** and therefore moves more slowly through the capillary because it is interacting with polymer strands more

dsDNA vs ssDNA CE Migration


- If a small amount of the complementary strand re-hybridizes to the labeled STR allele strand, then a little peak will be seen in-front of each internal lane standard peak and



•Height of dsDNA peak will depend on amount of re-hybridization between the two strands (**some loci will re-hybridize more readily giving rise to larger dsDNA peaks**)

•Local temperature environment of capillary impacts amount of re-hybridization (may change over time)

Split Peaks (amplification reagents starting to go bad – dNTPs, polymerase, etc.)



Split Peaks
Positive Control – FTA® Blood Sample

Data from Peggy Phillon (RCMP)

Acknowledgments

NIST Human Identity Project Team

Leading the Way in Forensic DNA...



John Butler Erica Butts Mike Coble Dave Duwer Becky Hill Margaret Kline Kristen Lewis O'Connor Pete Vellone

Funding from interagency agreement 2008-DN-R-121 between the National Institute of Justice and the NIST Office of Law Enforcement Standards

Many wonderful collaborators from industry, university, and government laboratories.


Bruce McCord (Florida International University) for many of the slides

Thank you for your attention

Contact Information

John Butler
 NIST Fellow
 Group Leader of Applied Genetics
john.butler@nist.gov
 +1-301-975-4049

<http://www.cstl.nist.gov/biotech/strbase>



Our team publications and presentations are available at:
<http://www.cstl.nist.gov/biotech/strbase/NISTpub.htm>