

Literature References on Elements of Mixture Interpretation

Mixture Principles & Recommendations

Buckleton, J.S., & Curran, J.M. (2008). A discussion of the merits of random man not excluded and likelihood ratios. *Forensic Science International: Genetics*, 2, 343-348.

Budowle, B., et al. (2009). Mixture interpretation: defining the relevant features for guidelines for the assessment of mixed DNA profiles in forensic casework. *Journal of Forensic Sciences*, 54, 810-821.

DNA Advisory Board (2000) Statistical and population genetic issues affecting the evaluation of the frequency of occurrence of DNA profiles calculated from pertinent population database(s) (approved 23 February 2000). Forensic Science Communications, July 2000. Available at: <http://www.fbi.gov/programs/lab/fsc/backissu/july2000/dnastat.htm>.

Gill, P., et al. (2006). DNA commission of the International Society of Forensic Genetics: Recommendations on the interpretation of mixtures. *Forensic Science International*, 160, 90-101. Available at <http://www.isfg.org/Publication;Gill2006>.

Gill, P., et al. (2008). National recommendations of the technical UK DNA working group on mixture interpretation for the NDNAD and for court going purposes. *Forensic Science International: Genetics*, 2, 76-82.

Morling, N., et al. (2007). Interpretation of DNA mixtures – European consensus on principles. *Forensic Science International: Genetics*, 1, 291-292.

Schneider, P.M., et al. (2006). Editorial on the recommendations of the DNA commission of the ISFG on the interpretation of mixtures. *Forensic Science International*, 160, 89-89.

Schneider, P.M., et al. (2009). The German Stain Commission: recommendations for the interpretation of mixed stains. *International Journal of Legal Medicine*, 123, 1-5. (originally published in German in 2006 -- *Rechtsmedizin* 16:401-404).

Stringer, P., et al. (2009). Interpretation of DNA mixtures—Australian and New Zealand consensus on principles. *Forensic Science International: Genetics*, 3, 144-145.

SWGDM (2010). SWGDM interpretation guidelines for autosomal STR typing by forensic DNA testing laboratories. Available at http://www.fbi.gov/hq/lab/html/codis_swgdam.pdf.

Wickenheiser, R.A. (2006). General guidelines for categorization and interpretation of mixed STR DNA profiles. *Canadian Society of Forensic Science Journal*, 39, 179-216. Available at http://raywickenheiser.com/pdf/wickenheiser_mixture_interpretation.pdf.

Setting Thresholds

Currie, L. (1999). Detection and quantification limits: origin and historical overview. *Analytica Chimica Acta*, 391, 127–134.

Gilder, J.R., et al. (2007). Run-specific limits of detection and quantitation for STR-based DNA testing. *Journal of Forensic Sciences*, 52, 97-101.

Gill, P., et al. (2009). The *low-template-DNA* (stochastic) threshold -- its determination relative to risk analysis for national DNA databases. *Forensic Science International: Genetics*, 3, 104-111.

Gill, P. and Buckleton, J. (2010). A universal strategy to interpret DNA profiles that does not require a definition of *low-copy-number*. *Forensic Science International: Genetics*, 4, 221-227.

Kaiser, H. (1970). Report for analytical chemists: part II. Quantitation in elemental analysis. *Analytical Chemistry*, 42, 26A-59A.

Long, G.L., & Winefordner, J.D. (1983). Limit of detection: a closer look at the IUPAC definition. *Analytical Chemistry*, 55, 712A-724A.

Miller J.C., & Miller J.N. (2005). Errors in instrumental analysis; regression and correlation in *Statistics for Analytical Chemistry*, Ellis Horwood and Prentice Hall, pp. 101-137.

Mocak, J., Bond, A.M., Mitchell, S., & Scollary, G. (1997). A statistical overview of standard (IUPAC and ACS) and new procedures for determining the limits of detection and quantification: application to voltammetric and stripping techniques. *Pure and Applied Chemistry*, 69, 297-328.

Rubinson, K.A., & Rubinson, J.F. (2000). Sample size and major, minor, trace, and ultratrace components. Contemporary instrumental analysis. Upper Saddle River: Prentice Hall, pp. 150–158.

Tvedebrink, T., et al. (2009). Estimating the probability of allelic drop-out of STR alleles in forensic genetics. *Forensic Science International: Genetics*, 3, 222-226.

Stutter Products

Blackmore, V.L., et al. (2000). Preferential amplification and stutter observed in population database samples using the AmpFISTR Profiler multiplex system. *Canadian Society of Forensic Sciences Journal*, 33, 23-32.

Gibb, A.J., et al. (2009). Characterisation of forward stutter in the AmpFISTR SGM Plus PCR. *Science & Justice*, 49, 24-31.

Gill, P., et al. (1997). Development of guidelines to designate alleles using an STR multiplex system. *Forensic Science International*, 89, 185-197.

Gill, P., et al. (1998). Interpretation of simple mixtures when artifacts such as stutters are present—with special reference to multiplex STRs used by the Forensic Science Service. *Forensic Science International*, 95, 213-224.

Hill, C.R., et al. (2010). Concordance and population studies along with stutter and peak height ratio analysis for the PowerPlex((R)) ESX 17 and ESI 17 Systems. *Forensic Science International: Genetics*, (in press).

Leclair, B., et al. (2004). Systematic analysis of stutter percentages and allele peak height and peak area ratios at heterozygous STR loci for forensic casework and database samples. *Journal of Forensic Sciences*, 49, 968-980.

Mulero, J.J., et al. (2006). Characterization of the N+3 stutter product in the trinucleotide repeat locus DYS392. *Journal of Forensic Sciences*, 51, 1069-1073.

Walsh, P.S., et al. (1996). Sequence analysis and characterization of stutter products at the tetranucleotide repeat locus vWA. *Nucleic Acids Research*, 24, 2807-2812.

Stochastic Effects and Allele Dropout

Balding, D.J., & Buckleton, J. (2009). Interpreting low template DNA profiles. *Forensic Science International: Genetics*, 4: 1-10.

Gill, P., et al. (2005). A graphical simulation model of the entire DNA process associated with the analysis of short tandem repeat loci. *Nucleic Acids Research*, 33, 632-643.

Puch-Solis, R., et al. (2009). Assigning weight of DNA evidence using a continuous model that takes into account stutter and dropout. *Forensic Science International: Genetics Supplement Series*, 2, 460-461.

Stenman, J., & Orpana, A. (2001). Accuracy in amplification. *Nature Biotechnology*, 19, 1011-1012.

Taberlet, P., et al. (1996). Reliable genotyping of samples with very low DNA quantities using PCR. *Nucleic Acids Research*, 24, 3189-3194.

Tvedebrink, T., et al. (2008). Amplification of DNA mixtures—missing data approach. *Forensic Science International: Genetics Supplement Series*, 1, 664-666.

Tvedebrink, T., et al. (2009). Estimating the probability of allelic drop-out of STR alleles in forensic genetics. *Forensic Science International: Genetics*, 3, 222-226.

Walsh, P.S., et al. (1992). Preferential PCR amplification of alleles: Mechanisms and solutions. *PCR Methods and Applications*, 1, 241-250.

Peak Height Ratios

Bright, J.A., et al. (2010). Examination of the variability in mixed DNA profile parameters for the Identifiler multiplex. *Forensic Science International: Genetics*, 4, 111-114.

Buckleton, J. (2009). Validation issues around DNA typing of low level DNA. *Forensic Science International: Genetics*, 3, 255-260.

Buse, E.L., et al. (2003). Performance evaluation of two multiplexes used in fluorescent short tandem repeat DNA analysis. *Journal of Forensic Sciences*, 48, 348-357.

Debernardi, A., et al. (2010). One year variability of peak heights, heterozygous balance and inter-locus balance for the DNA positive control of AmpFISTR Identifiler STR kit. *Forensic Science International: Genetics*, (*in press*). DOI: 10.1016/j.fsigen.2010.01.020

Hill, C.R., et al. (2010). Concordance and population studies along with stutter and peak height ratio analysis for the PowerPlex® ESX 17 and ESI 17 Systems. *Forensic Science International: Genetics*, (*in press*).

Leclair, B., et al. (2004). Systematic analysis of stutter percentages and allele peak height and peak area ratios at heterozygous STR loci for forensic casework and database samples. *Journal of Forensic Sciences*, 49, 968-980.

Moretti, T.R., et al. (2001). Validation of short tandem repeats (STRs) for forensic usage: performance testing of fluorescent multiplex STR systems and analysis of authentic and simulated forensic samples. *Journal of Forensic Sciences*, 46, 647-660.

Moretti, T.R., et al. (2001). Validation of STR typing by capillary electrophoresis. *Journal of Forensic Sciences*, 46, 661-676.

Wallin, J.M., et al. (1998). TWGDAM validation of the AmpFISTR Blue PCR amplification kit for forensic casework analysis. *Journal of Forensic Sciences*, 43, 854-870.

Estimating the Number of Contributors

Brenner, C.H., et al. (1996). Likelihood ratios for mixed stains when the number of donors cannot be agreed. *International Journal of Legal Medicine* 109, 218-219.

Buckleton, J.S., et al. (1998). Setting bounds for the likelihood ratio when multiple hypotheses are postulated. *Science & Justice* 38, 23-26.

Buckleton, J.S., et al. (2007). Towards understanding the effect of uncertainty in the number of contributors to DNA stains. *Forensic Science International: Genetics*, 1, 20-28.

Clayton, T.M., et al. (2004). A genetic basis for anomalous band patterns encountered during DNA STR profiling. *Journal of Forensic Sciences*, 49, 1207-1214.

Egeland, T., et al. (2003). Estimating the number of contributors to a DNA profile. *International Journal of Legal Medicine*, 117, 271-275.

Haned, H., et al. (2010). The predictive value of the maximum likelihood estimator of the number of contributors to a DNA mixture. *Forensic Science International: Genetics*, (in press).

Haned, H., et al. (2010). Estimating the number of contributors to forensic DNA mixtures: does maximum likelihood perform better than maximum allele count? *Journal of Forensic Sciences*, (in press).

Lauritzen, S.L., & Mortera, J. (2002). Bounding the number of contributors to mixed DNA stains. *Forensic Science International* 130, 125-126.

Paoletti, D.R., et al. (2005). Empirical analysis of the STR profiles resulting from conceptual mixtures. *Journal of Forensic Sciences*, 50, 1361-1366.

Mixture Ratios

Clayton, T.M., et al. (1998). Analysis and interpretation of mixed forensic stains using DNA STR profiling. *Forensic Science International*, 91, 55-70.

Cowell, R.G., et al. (2007). Identification and separation of DNA mixtures using peak area information. *Forensic Science International*, 166, 28-34.

Evetts, I.W., et al. (1998). Taking account of peak areas when interpreting mixed DNA profiles. *Journal of Forensic Sciences*, 43, 62-69.

Frégeau, C.J., et al. (2003). AmpFISTR Profiler Plus short tandem repeat DNA analysis of casework samples, mixture samples, and nonhuman DNA samples amplified under reduced PCR volume conditions (25 microl). *Journal of Forensic Sciences*, 48, 1014-1034.

Gill, P., et al. (1998). Interpreting simple STR mixtures using allelic peak areas. *Forensic Science International*, 91, 41-53.

Perlin, M.W., & Szabady, B. (2001). Linear mixture analysis: a mathematical approach to resolving mixed DNA samples. *Journal of Forensic Sciences*, 46, 1372-1378.

Wang, T., et al. (2006). Least-squares deconvolution: a framework for interpreting short tandem repeat mixtures. *Journal of Forensic Sciences*, 51, 1284-1297.

Statistical Approaches

- Balding, D.J. (2005) *Weight-of-evidence for Forensic DNA Profiles*. John Wiley & Sons; see mixture section on pp. 101-110.
- Curran, J.M., et al. (1999). Interpreting DNA mixtures in structured populations. *Journal of Forensic Sciences*, *44*, 987-995.
- Curran, J.M., & Buckleton, J. (2010). Inclusion probabilities and dropout. *Journal of Forensic Science*, *55*, 1171-1173.
- Devlin, B. (1993). Forensic inference from genetic markers. *Statistical Methods in Medical Research*, *2*, 241-262.
- Evetts, I.W., et al. (1991). A guide to interpreting single locus profiles of DNA mixtures in forensic cases. *Journal of Forensic Science Society*, *31*, 41-47.
- Evetts, I.W., & Weir, B.S. (1998). *Interpreting DNA Evidence: Statistical Genetics for Forensic Scientists*. Sunderland, MA: Sinauer Associates.
- Fung, W.K., & Hu, Y.-Q. (2001). The evaluation of mixed stains from different ethnic origins: general result and common cases. *International Journal of Legal Medicine*, *115*, 48-53.
- Fung, W.K., & Hu, Y.-Q. (2002). The statistical evaluation of DNA mixtures with contributors from different ethnic groups. *International Journal of Legal Medicine*, *116*, 79-86.
- Fung, W.K., & Hu, Y.-Q. (2008). *Statistical DNA Forensics: Theory, Methods and Computation*. Wiley: Hoboken, NJ.
- Hu, Y.-Q., & Fung, W.K. (2003). Evaluating forensic DNA mixtures with contributors of different structured ethnic origins: a computer software. *International Journal of Legal Medicine*, *117*, 248-249.
- Ladd, C., et al. (2001). Interpretation of complex forensic DNA mixtures. *Croatian Medical Journal*, *42*, 244-246.
- Puch-Solis, R., et al. (2010). Calculating likelihood ratios for a mixed DNA profile when a contribution from a genetic relative of a suspect is proposed. *Science & Justice*, (*in press*).
- van Nieuwerburgh, F., et al. (2009). Impact of allelic dropout on evidential value of forensic DNA profiles using RMNE. *Bioinformatics* *25*, 225-229.
- Van Nieuwerburgh, F., et al. (2009). RMNE probability of forensic DNA profiles with allelic drop-out. *Forensic Science International: Genetics Supplement Series*, *2*, 462-463.
- Weir, B.S., et al. (1997). Interpreting DNA mixtures. *Journal of Forensic Sciences* *42*, 213-222.

Software

- Bill, M., et al. (2005). PENDULUM-a guideline-based approach to the interpretation of STR mixtures. *Forensic Science International*, *148*, 181-189.
- Mortera, J., et al. (2003). Probabilistic expert system for DNA mixture profiling. *Theoretical and Population Biology*, *63*, 191-205.

Oldroyd, N., & Shade, L.L. (2008) Expert assistant software enables forensic DNA analysts to confidently process more samples. *Forensic Magazine Dec 2008/Jan 2009*, 25-28; available at <http://www.forensicmag.com/articles.asp?pid=240>.

Perlin, M.W. (2006). Scientific validation of mixture interpretation methods. *Proceedings of Promega's Seventeenth International Symposium on Human Identification*. Available at <http://www.promega.com/geneticidproc/ussymp17proc/oralpresentations/Perlin.pdf>

Probabilistic Genotyping Approach

Cowell, R.G., et al. (2010). Probabilistic expert systems for handling artifacts in complex DNA mixtures. *Forensic Science International: Genetics*, (in press).

Curran, J.M. (2008). A MCMC method for resolving two person mixtures. *Science & Justice*, 48, 168-177.

Perlin, M.W., & Sinelnikov, A. (2009). An information gap in DNA evidence interpretation. *PLoS ONE*, 4(12), e8327.

Perlin, M.W., et al. (2009). Match likelihood ratio for uncertain genotypes. *Law, Probability and Risk*, 8, 289-302.

General Information on Mixtures

Clayton, T., & Buckleton, J. (2005). Mixtures. Chapter 7 in *Forensic DNA Evidence Interpretation* (Eds.: Buckleton, J., Triggs, C.M., Walsh, S.J.), CRC Press, pp. 217-274.

Tomsey, C.S., et al. (2001). Case work guidelines and interpretation of short tandem repeat complex mixture analysis. *Croatian Medical Journal*, 42, 276-280.

Torres, Y., et al. (2003). DNA mixtures in forensic casework: a 4-year retrospective study. *Forensic Science International*, 134, 180-186.